Analysis and optimization of certain parallel Monte Carlo methods in the low temperature limit

Guo-Jhen Wu* and Paul Dupuis ** RESIM 2021, May 19, 2021

- * Department of Mathematics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology
- ** Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University

OUTLINE

- 1. [Problem of interest](#page-2-0)
- 2. [Metastability and accelerated Monte Carlo](#page-6-0)
- 3. [Performance measure](#page-14-0)

4. [Large deviation properties of empirical measure of metastable](#page-20-0) [diffusion](#page-20-0)

5. [Optimality in two-well model and multi-well model](#page-27-0)

[Problem of interest](#page-2-0)

RARE EVENT PROBABILITIES AND MCMC

Compute probability $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$ with respect to a Gibbs measure of the form $\mu^{\varepsilon}(dx) = e^{-V(x)/\varepsilon} dx \Big/ Z(\varepsilon),$

where $V: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is the potential of a complex physical system, ε is the temperature of the system, and *A* does not contain the global minimum of *V*.

RARE EVENT PROBABILITIES AND MCMC

Compute probability $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$ with respect to a Gibbs measure of the form $\mu^{\varepsilon}(dx) = e^{-V(x)/\varepsilon} dx \Big/ Z(\varepsilon),$

where $V: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is the potential of a complex physical system, ε is the temperature of the system, and *A* does not contain the global minimum of *V*.

Well-known: $\mu^{\varepsilon}(dx)$ is the unique invariant distribution of the diffusion process {*X*(*t*)}*^t* satisfying

 $dX(t) = -\nabla V(X(t)) dt +$ √ 2ε*dW* (*t*).

RARE EVENT PROBABILITIES AND MCMC

Compute probability $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$ with respect to a Gibbs measure of the form $\mu^{\varepsilon}(dx) = e^{-V(x)/\varepsilon} dx \Big/ Z(\varepsilon),$

where $V: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is the potential of a complex physical system, ε is the temperature of the system, and *A* does not contain the global minimum of *V*.

Well-known: $\mu^{\varepsilon}(dx)$ is the unique invariant distribution of the diffusion process $\{X(t)\}_t$ satisfying

 $dX(t) = -\nabla V(X(t)) dt +$ √ 2ε*dW* (*t*).

Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

The empirical measure over a large time *T*:

$$
\lambda^{T}(dx) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \delta_{X(t)}(dx) dt \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{d}).
$$

Use $\lambda^T(A)$ for some large *T* as an estimate of $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$.

[Metastability](#page-6-0)

EXPONENTIAL EXIT TIME

In general *V* contains many deep and shallow local minima.

EXPONENTIAL EXIT TIME

In general *V* contains many deep and shallow local minima.

Exponential exit time: Mean transition time from one local minimum to another is roughly $\exp(h/\varepsilon)$ when the temperature ε is small, where *h* is the barrier height.

PARALLEL TEMPERING (TWO TEMPERATURES)

Besides $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon$, introduce higher temperature $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon/\alpha$ with $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

 $dX_1 = -\nabla V(X_1)dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon_1}dW_1$ $dX_2 = -\nabla V(X_2)dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon_2}dW_2,$

with W_1 and W_2 independent. Then allow "swaps" with rate

$$
ag(x_1,x_2)=a\left(1\wedge e^{-\left[\frac{V(x_1)}{\varepsilon_1}+\frac{V(x_2)}{\varepsilon_2}\right]+\left[\frac{V(x_2)}{\varepsilon_1}+\frac{V(x_1)}{\varepsilon_2}\right]}\right).
$$

PARALLEL TEMPERING (TWO TEMPERATURES)

Besides $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon$, introduce higher temperature $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon/\alpha$ with $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

 $dX_1 = -\nabla V(X_1)dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon_1}dW_1$ $dX_2 = -\nabla V(X_2)dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon_2}dW_2,$

with W_1 and W_2 independent. Then allow "swaps" with rate

$$
ag(x_1,x_2)=a\left(1\wedge e^{-\left[\frac{V(x_1)}{\varepsilon_1}+\frac{V(x_2)}{\varepsilon_2}\right]+\left[\frac{V(x_2)}{\varepsilon_1}+\frac{V(x_1)}{\varepsilon_2}\right]}\right).
$$

Particle swapped process: (X_1^a, X_2^a)

 $\mu^{\varepsilon_1}(dx_1)\mu^{\varepsilon_2}(dx_2)$ is the unique invariant distribution of (X_1^a, X_2^a) .

INFINITE SWAPPING PROCESS (TWO TEMPERATURES)

INS process *(limit process as swap rate* $a \rightarrow \infty$):

 $dY_1 = -\nabla V(Y_1)dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon_1 \rho(Y_1, Y_2) + 2\varepsilon_2 \rho(Y_2, Y_1)}dW_1$ $dY_2 = -\nabla V(Y_2)dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon_2\rho(Y_1, Y_2) + 2\varepsilon_1\rho(Y_2, Y_1)}dW_2,$

where

$$
\rho(x_1, x_2) = e^{-\left[\frac{V(x_1)}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{V(x_2)}{\varepsilon_2}\right]} \bigg/ Z_{\rho}(x_1, x_2),
$$

$$
Z_{\rho}(x_1, x_2) = e^{-\left[\frac{V(x_1)}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{V(x_2)}{\varepsilon_2}\right]} + e^{-\left[\frac{V(x_2)}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{V(x_1)}{\varepsilon_2}\right]}.
$$

The unique invariant distribution of (Y_1, Y_2) becomes $[\mu^{\varepsilon_1}(dx_1)\mu^{\varepsilon_2}(dx_2) + \mu^{\varepsilon_2}(dx_1)\mu^{\varepsilon_1}(dx_2)]/2.$

Weighted empirical measure:

$$
\eta^{T}(dx) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \left[\rho(Y_{1}, Y_{2}) \delta_{(Y_{1}, Y_{2})} + \rho(Y_{2}, Y_{1}) \delta_{(Y_{2}, Y_{1})} \right] dt,
$$

Use $\eta^T(A \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ as an estimate of $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$.

K-TEMPERATURE INS ALGORITHM

K-temperature INS process $\{X^{\varepsilon}(t)\}_{t\geq0} = \{(X^{\varepsilon}_1(t), \ldots, X^{\varepsilon}_K(t))\}_{t\geq0}$ for a given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_K)$ with $1 = \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_K$:

$$
\begin{cases}\n dX_1^{\varepsilon} = -\nabla V(X_1^{\varepsilon}) dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon} \sqrt{\rho_{11}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_1 + \rho_{12}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_2 + \cdots + \rho_{1K}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_K} dW_1 \\
\vdots \\
 dX_K^{\varepsilon} = -\nabla V(X_K^{\varepsilon}) dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon} \sqrt{\rho_{K1}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_1 + \rho_{K2}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_2 + \cdots + \rho_{KK}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_K} dW_K\n\end{cases},
$$

where

$$
\rho_{ij}^{\varepsilon} \doteq \sum_{\sigma: \sigma(j)=i} w^{\varepsilon} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\sigma}; \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right), \quad w^{\varepsilon} \left(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right) \doteq \frac{\exp \left[-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \alpha_{\ell} V \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\ell} \right) \right]}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{K}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \alpha_{\ell} V \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\sigma(\ell)} \right) \right]}.
$$

INS estimator of $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$ is defined as

$$
\theta_{\text{INS}}^{\varepsilon,T} \doteq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\text{K}}} w^\varepsilon\left(X^\varepsilon_\sigma\left(t\right);\boldsymbol{\alpha}\right) 1_A (X^\varepsilon_{\sigma(1)}(t)) dt.
$$

K-TEMPERATURE INS ALGORITHM

K-temperature INS process $\{X^{\varepsilon}(t)\}_{t\geq0} = \{(X^{\varepsilon}_1(t), \ldots, X^{\varepsilon}_K(t))\}_{t\geq0}$ for a given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_K)$ with $1 = \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_K$:

 $\sqrt{ }$ \int \mathcal{L} $dX_1^{\varepsilon} = -\nabla V(X_1^{\varepsilon}) dt +$ √ $2\varepsilon\sqrt{\rho_{11}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_1+\rho_{12}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_2}+\cdots+\rho_{1K}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_KdW_1$. . . $dX_K^{\varepsilon} = -\nabla V(X_K^{\varepsilon}) dt +$ √ $\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\sqrt{\rho_{K1}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_{1} + \rho_{K2}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_{2} + \cdots + \rho_{KK}^{\varepsilon}/\alpha_{K}}dW_{K}$,

where

$$
\rho_{ij}^{\varepsilon} \doteq \sum_{\sigma: \sigma(j)=i} w^{\varepsilon} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\sigma}; \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right), \quad w^{\varepsilon} \left(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right) \doteq \frac{\exp \left[-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \alpha_{\ell} V \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\ell} \right) \right]}{\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{K}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \alpha_{\ell} V \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\sigma(\ell)} \right) \right]}.
$$

INS estimator of $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$ is defined as

$$
\theta_{\text{INS}}^{\varepsilon,T} \doteq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\text{K}}} w^\varepsilon\left(X^\varepsilon_\sigma\left(t\right);\boldsymbol{\alpha}\right) 1_A (X^\varepsilon_{\sigma(1)}(t)) dt.
$$

Question: How to choose α?

[Performance measure](#page-14-0)

Time scale: Good estimation requires $T^{\varepsilon} = e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}c}$ for some $c > 0$.

DEFINITION

An estimator $\theta^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}}$ of $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$ is called **essentially unbiased** if there is $c_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left| E e^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}} - \mu^{\varepsilon}(A) \right| \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(A) + c_0.$

DEFINITION

Time scale: Good estimation requires $T^{\varepsilon} = e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}c}$ for some $c > 0$.

DEFINITION

An estimator $\theta^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}}$ of $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$ is called **essentially unbiased** if there is $c_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left| E e^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}} - \mu^{\varepsilon}(A) \right| \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(A) + c_0.$

DEFINITION

The decay rate of the variance (per unit time) of $\theta^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}}$ is defined as $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left(\text{Var} \left(\theta^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}} \right) T^{\varepsilon} \right).$

 \diamond Performance benchmark is $2\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$.

Time scale: Good estimation requires $T^{\varepsilon} = e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}c}$ for some $c > 0$.

DEFINITION

An estimator $\theta^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}}$ of $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$ is called **essentially unbiased** if there is $c_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left| E e^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}} - \mu^{\varepsilon}(A) \right| \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(A) + c_0.$

DEFINITION

- \diamond Performance benchmark is $2\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$.
- \Diamond Not the best possible decay rate, but the best practically achievable decay rate.

Time scale: Good estimation requires $T^{\varepsilon} = e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}c}$ for some $c > 0$.

DEFINITION

An estimator $\theta^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}}$ of $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$ is called **essentially unbiased** if there is $c_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left| E e^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}} - \mu^{\varepsilon}(A) \right| \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(A) + c_0.$

DEFINITION

- \diamond Performance benchmark is $2\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$.
- \Diamond Not the best possible decay rate, but the best practically achievable decay rate.
- \circ Optimize decay rate among essentially unbiased estimators.

Time scale: Good estimation requires $T^{\varepsilon} = e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}c}$ for some $c > 0$.

DEFINITION

An estimator $\theta^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}}$ of $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$ is called **essentially unbiased** if there is $c_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left| E e^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}} - \mu^{\varepsilon}(A) \right| \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(A) + c_0.$

DEFINITION

- \diamond Performance benchmark is $2\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$.
- \Diamond Not the best possible decay rate, but the best practically achievable decay rate.
- \circ Optimize decay rate among essentially unbiased estimators.
- \Diamond Conflict between improving the decay rate and achieving essential unbiasedness is insignificant. The matrix of the set of th

[LD properties](#page-20-0)

SMALL-NOISE DIFFUSION AND QUASIPOTENTIAL

Consider $\{X_t^\varepsilon\}_{0\leq t\leq T}$ satisfies

$$
dX_t^{\varepsilon} = b(X_t^{\varepsilon})dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon})dW_t, \quad X_0^{\varepsilon} = x.
$$

Let $\{O_i\}_{i\in L}$ be all the equilibrium points of $\dot{x}_t = b(x_t)$ and $\{X_t^{\varepsilon}\}$ has an unique invariant distribution μ^ε satisfying

 $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(O_1) < \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(O_i).$

Under some conditions, $\{X^\varepsilon_t\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with rate function I_T for any $T \in (0, \infty)$.

SMALL-NOISE DIFFUSION AND QUASIPOTENTIAL

Consider $\{X_t^\varepsilon\}_{0\leq t\leq T}$ satisfies

$$
dX_t^{\varepsilon} = b(X_t^{\varepsilon})dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon})dW_t, \quad X_0^{\varepsilon} = x.
$$

Let $\{O_i\}_{i\in L}$ be all the equilibrium points of $\dot{x}_t = b(x_t)$ and $\{X_t^{\varepsilon}\}$ has an unique invariant distribution μ^ε satisfying

 $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(O_1) < \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(O_i).$

Under some conditions, $\{X^\varepsilon_t\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with rate function I_T for any $T \in (0, \infty)$. The quasipotential is as

 $Q(x, y) \doteq \inf \{ I_T(\phi) : \phi(0) = x, \phi(T) = y, T < \infty \}.$

SMALL-NOISE DIFFUSION AND QUASIPOTENTIAL

Consider $\{X_t^\varepsilon\}_{0\leq t\leq T}$ satisfies

$$
dX_t^{\varepsilon} = b(X_t^{\varepsilon})dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon})dW_t, \quad X_0^{\varepsilon} = x.
$$

Let $\{O_i\}_{i\in L}$ be all the equilibrium points of $\dot{x}_t = b(x_t)$ and $\{X_t^{\varepsilon}\}$ has an unique invariant distribution μ^ε satisfying

 $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(O_1) < \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(O_i).$

Under some conditions, $\{X^\varepsilon_t\}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with rate function I_T for any $T \in (0, \infty)$. The quasipotential is as

 $Q(x, y) \doteq \inf \{ I_T(\phi) : \phi(0) = x, \phi(T) = y, T < \infty \}.$

DEFINITION

Given a subset $W \subset L$, a directed graph consisting of arrows $i \rightarrow j$

 $(i ∈ L \setminus W, j ∈ L, i ≠ j)$ is called a W-qraph on *L* if

- 1. every point $i \in L \setminus W$ is the initial point of exactly one arrow.
- 2. for any point *i* ∈ *L* \ *W*, there exists a sequence of arrows leading from *i* to some point in *W*.

W-GRAPHS

Example: $L = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $W = \{1\}$.

Denote the set of all *W*-graphs by *G*(*W*).

DEFINITION For all $i \in L$, $W\left(O_i\right) \doteq \min_{g \in G(i)} \left[\sum_{(m \to n) \in g} Q\left(O_m, O_n\right) \right]$ and $W(O_1 \cup O_i) \doteq \min_{g \in G(1,i)} \left[\sum_{(m \to n) \in g} Q(O_m, O_n) \right].$

GENERALIZATION OF FREIDLIN-WENTZELL

Freidlin-Wentzell proved that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \mu^{\varepsilon}(B_{\delta}(x)) = W(x) - W(O_1),
$$

 $\text{where } W(x) \doteq \min_{i \in L} [W(O_i) + Q(O_i, x)].$

THEOREM (DUPUIS AND WU, 2020)

Let $T^\varepsilon = e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}c}$ for some $c > h \vee w$. Given a continuous function $f:\mathbb{R}^d\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$ and any compact set $A\subset\mathbb{R}^d,$

$$
\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left| E \left(\frac{1}{T^{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{T^{\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(X_{t}^{\varepsilon})} 1_{A} (X_{t}^{\varepsilon}) dt \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(x)} 1_{A} (x) \mu^{\varepsilon} (dx) \right|
$$
\n
$$
\geq \inf_{x \in A} [f(x) + W(x)] - W(0_{1}) + c - (h \vee w),
$$

 $\text{with } h \text{ = } \min_{i \in L \setminus \{1\}} Q(O_1, O_i) \text{ and } w \text{ = } W(O_1) - \min_{i \in L \setminus \{1\}} W(O_1 \cup O_i).$

DECAY RATE OF VARIANCE

THEOREM (DUPUIS AND WU, 2020)

Under the same conditions,

$$
\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left(T^{\varepsilon} \cdot \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{T^{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{T^{\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(X_{t}^{\varepsilon})} 1_{A} (X_{t}^{\varepsilon}) dt \right) \right) \le \min_{i \in L} \left(R_{i}^{(1)} \wedge R_{i}^{(2)} \wedge R_{i}^{(3)} \right),
$$

where

$$
R_i^{(1)} \doteq \inf_{x \in A} [2f(x) + Q(O_i, x)] + W(O_i) - W(O_1),
$$

$$
R_1^{(2)} \doteq 2 \inf_{x \in A} [f(x) + Q(O_1, x)] - h,
$$

and for $i \in L \setminus \{1\}$

 $R_i^{(2)} \doteq 2 \inf_{x \in A} [f(x) + Q(O_i, x)] + W(O_i) - 2W(O_1) + W(O_1 \cup O_i),$

 $R_i^{(3)} \doteq 2 \inf_{x \in A} [f(x) + Q(O_i, x)] + 2W(O_i) - 2W(O_1) - w.$

[Optimality](#page-27-0)

DOUBLE WELL

THEOREM (DUPUIS AND WU, 2020)

$$
\theta_{\text{INS}}^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}} \text{ is an essentially unbiased estimator of } \mu^{\varepsilon}(A). \text{ Moreover,}
$$
\n
$$
\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left(\text{Var} \left(\theta_{\text{INS}}^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}} \right) T^{\varepsilon} \right) \geq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_1(\alpha) \wedge r_3(\alpha), \text{ if } A \subset (-\infty,0] \\ r_1(\alpha) \wedge r_2(\alpha), \text{ if } A \subset [0,\infty) \end{array} \right.,
$$
\n
$$
\text{where } r_3(\alpha) \doteq 2V(A) - \alpha_K h_L \text{ with } V(A) \doteq \inf_{x \in A} V(x) \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
r_1(\alpha) \doteq \inf_{x \in A \times \mathbb{R}^{K-1}} \left[2 \sum_{\ell=1}^K \alpha_\ell V(x_\ell) - \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^K \alpha_\ell V(x_{\sigma(\ell)}) \right\} \right],
$$
\n
$$
r_2(\alpha) \doteq \min_{i \in \{2, ..., K+1\}} \left\{ 2V(A) + \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{i-2} \alpha_{K-\ell+1} - \alpha_{K-i+2} \right] (h_L - h_R) \right\} - \alpha_K h_R.
$$

DOUBLE WELL

THEOREM (DUPUIS AND WU, 2020)

$$
\theta_{\text{INS}}^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}}
$$
 is an essentially unbiased estimator of $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$. Moreover,
\n
$$
\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left(\text{Var} \left(\theta_{\text{INS}}^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}} \right) T^{\varepsilon} \right) \ge \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_1(\alpha) \wedge r_3(\alpha), \text{ if } A \subset (-\infty,0] \\ r_1(\alpha) \wedge r_2(\alpha), \text{ if } A \subset [0,\infty) \end{array} \right\},
$$

\nwhere $r_3(\alpha) \doteq 2V(A) - \alpha_K h_L$ with $V(A) \doteq \inf_{x \in A} V(x)$ and
\n
$$
r_1(\alpha) \doteq \inf_{x \in A \times \mathbb{R}^{K-1}} \left[2 \sum_{\ell=1}^K \alpha_\ell V(x_\ell) - \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^K \alpha_\ell V(x_{\sigma(\ell)}) \right\} \right],
$$

\n
$$
r_2(\alpha) \doteq \min_{i \in \{2, ..., K+1\}} \left\{ 2V(A) + \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{i-2} \alpha_{K-\ell+1} - \alpha_{K-i+2} \right] (h_L - h_R) \right\} - \alpha_K h_R.
$$

• Optimal $\bm{\alpha}^* = (1, 1/2, \dots, (1/2)^{K-2}, \alpha_K^*)$, where α_K^* is determined by $V(A)$, h_L and h_R .

DOUBLE WELL

THEOREM (DUPUIS AND WU, 2020)

$$
\theta_{\text{INS}}^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}}
$$
 is an essentially unbiased estimator of $\mu^{\varepsilon}(A)$. Moreover,
\n
$$
\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left(\text{Var} \left(\theta_{\text{INS}}^{\varepsilon,T^{\varepsilon}} \right) T^{\varepsilon} \right) \ge \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_1(\alpha) \wedge r_3(\alpha), \text{ if } A \subset (-\infty,0] \\ r_1(\alpha) \wedge r_2(\alpha), \text{ if } A \subset [0,\infty) \end{array} \right\},
$$

\nwhere $r_3(\alpha) \doteq 2V(A) - \alpha_k h_L$ with $V(A) \doteq \inf_{x \in A} V(x)$ and
\n
$$
r_1(\alpha) \doteq \inf_{x \in A \times \mathbb{R}^{K-1}} \left[2 \sum_{\ell=1}^K \alpha_\ell V(x_\ell) - \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^K \alpha_\ell V(x_{\sigma(\ell)}) \right\} \right],
$$

\n
$$
r_2(\alpha) \doteq \min_{i \in \{2, ..., K+1\}} \left\{ 2V(A) + \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{i-2} \alpha_{K-\ell+1} - \alpha_{K-i+2} \right] (h_L - h_R) \right\} - \alpha_K h_R.
$$

• Optimal $\bm{\alpha}^* = (1, 1/2, \dots, (1/2)^{K-2}, \alpha_K^*)$, where α_K^* is determined by $V(A)$, h_L and h_R .

• Supremum always $\geq 2V(A) - (1/2)^{K-2}V(A)$.

MULTI-WELL

THEOREM (DUPUIS AND WU, 2021)

There exists $B \in (0, \infty)$ such that the following hold. Consider any α and let $T^{\varepsilon} = e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}c}$ for some $c > \alpha_K B$. Then $\theta_{\text{INS}}^{\varepsilon, T^{\varepsilon}}$ is essentially unbiased, and

$$
\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\varepsilon \log \left(\text{Var}(\theta_{\text{INS}}^{\varepsilon, T^{\varepsilon}}) T^{\varepsilon} \right) \ge r(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) - \alpha_K B,
$$

where

$$
r(\alpha) \doteq \inf_{x \in A \times \mathbb{R}^{d(K-1)}} \left\{ 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \alpha_{\ell} V(x_{\ell}) - \min_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{K} \alpha_{\ell} V(x_{\sigma(\ell)}) \right\} \right\}.
$$

THEOREM (DUPUIS AND WU, 2021)

For any closed set A, and any $\alpha_K \in (0, (1/2)^{K-1}],$

sup $\sup_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_{K-1})\in[\alpha_K,1]^{K-2}} r(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_{K-1},\alpha_K) = (2+\alpha_K-(1/2)^{K-2})V(A).$

The supremum is achieved at $(\alpha_1^*,\ldots,\alpha_{K-1}^*)$ with $\alpha_\ell^* = (1/2)^{\ell-1}$ for all $\ell.$

- "Metastability" present a particular challenge for the design of efficient Monte Carlo methods.
- As such, it is natural to use various asymptotic theories to understand issues of algorithm design.
- Have presented one use of large deviation ideas in the context of infinite swapping (and parallel tempering) algorithms to understand the mechanisms that produce variance reduction.
- INS process with a geometric sequence of temperatures explore landscape in a organized and meaningful way. (Ongoing work)

Infinite swapping as a limit of parallel tempering:

 \circ "On the infinite swapping limit for parallel tempering", Dupuis, Liu, Plattner and Doll, *SIAM J. on MMS*, 10, 986–1022, 2012.

Large deviation estimates:

 \circ "Large Deviation Properties of the Empirical Measure of a Metastable Small Noise Diffusion", Dupuis and **Wu**, *J Theo. Prob.*, 2020.

Analysis of INS algorithm:

 \circ "Analysis and optimization of certain parallel Monte Carlo methods in the low temperature limit", Dupuis and **Wu**, submitted, 2021.

CONTACT INFORMATION: Guo-Jhen Wu; gjwu@kth.se